



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.33, No.2

January 24th, 1997

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The letter of the law is too cold and formal to have a beneficial influence on society. When the issue of life is woven by legalistic relations, there is an atmosphere of moral mediocrity, paralysing man's noblest impulses."

– Alexander Solzhenitsyn in *A World Split Apart*

IMMIGRATION DEBATE MUST BE CIVILISED by Eric D. Butler:

Students of what is known as "anti-Semitism" are aware that assuming that "anti-Semitism" is defined as expressing hatred of the Jewish people, or anti-Jewish acts, much of this type of "anti-Semitism" has been deliberately promoted by Jews for political and publicity purposes. In his classic work, *The Zionist Connection*, American Zionist Jew Dr. Alfred Lilienthal provides documented evidence of this type of provocative activity. Many of the illiterates masquerading as serious journalists reveal not only their illiteracy, but also their philosophic bias as they churn out their comments on immigration and race issues. Many are blatant totalitarians who resent the emergence of political representatives like Graeme Campbell and Pauline Hanson. Like a cracked record they keep sneering about "the threat of racism", this rarely defined, probably because the illiterates are unable to manage this.

The forced programme of multiculturalism, particularly at a time when there is large scale unemployment and economic tensions, is a guaranteed recipe for ethnic conflict. The minimising and progressive resolution of this type of conflict requires basically two steps: the first being a drastic reduction in the immigration rate and the end of the policy of multiculturalism; and the second being implementation of an economic programme which will make it possible for those seeking to join the production system to do so.

The fostering of any type of ethnic hatred at the present time should not only be deplored, but should be condemned by all those who genuinely want to halt any further social disintegration. An item in the Melbourne *Herald Sun* of Saturday, January 18th, highlights the threat from those groups who are being deliberately provocative. Entitled, **RACISM HAS A PRICE TAG**, a report starts, "T-shirts with anti-Asian and other racist slogans are being sold by a new shop opened by the racist organisation National Action. National Action chairman Michael Brander said he hoped the shop would get the group's anti-Asian immigration message across middle Australia." There

is a photo of Michael Brander outside the shop which displays the Eureka flag. One cannot help noting the number of self-styled nationalist movements which use a flag which has no relevance to Australia's genuine heritage.

Although I have only met Michael Brander briefly on several occasions, I would say that he is probably a genuinely well-meaning young man. But he is politically naive if he believes that the type of programme he supports is going to have any impact on "middle Australia". The history of the National Action movement in Australia shows that some of the types it attracts are sometimes psychopaths, susceptible to manipulation by others. Growing social disintegration, with a growing younger underclass, must inevitably result in the recruitment of more social misfits into the ranks of those who are desperate about the future. Increasing numbers do not believe that they have a future, as witnessed by the suicide rate. Victorian Premier Jeff Kennett is so alarmed that he is going to have an investigation as to the cause. One Church leader has bluntly said that the cause is well known: the rising unemployment rate among the young. But like all his Liberal Party colleagues Jeff Kennett has nothing constructive to offer. The best that Senator Amanda Vanstone, Minister for Employment, can suggest is that it will take time before the "benefits" of the Howard-Costello Budget are felt. Confidence will then be restored and, hey presto, more jobs will become available.

Clearly a combination of developments are bringing Australia towards a major explosion. This is no time for creating fear amongst the Asian and non-European community. It is not the fault of the non-Europeans that they are in Australia in such large numbers at this time. As documented by researcher Denis McCormack in his work, *The Asianisation of Australia*, all the major political parties have been responsible for what has happened. They must be forced by constructive electoral pressure to start to correct what has happened. The tactics of groups like National Action can only prove counter-productive.

Anti-League of Rights smearers have attempted to link the League with revolutionary groups. As the notorious National Action leader in West Australia, Jack Von Tongren, is still in prison as a result of criminal activities against Asians, has been quoted as having said that he had tried to increase his education by "hanging around" the League, it is important to record that the last time I saw Van Tongren was when I had to have him and a colleague physically ejected from a public meeting. They had charged that I was "soft" on the immigration issue and threw an empty cartridge into the meeting shouting that all power flowed from the barrel of the gun. This was one of Chinese Communist leader Mao's best known slogans. I stressed at the Perth meeting that National Action's tactics were simply making the task of constructive opponents of the nation's disastrous immigration policy that much harder.

In a disgraceful cover story, *The Bulletin* blatantly attempted to link the League with groups like National Action. A senior editor with *The Bulletin*, a pleasant woman, came to my Melbourne office to interview me for *The Bulletin* story. She frankly admitted that she had not found what she expected. But this did not prevent the subsequent smear article in *The Bulletin*. Such is the morality of those determined to ensure that there is no constructive opposition to Australia's immigration and multicultural policies. But, fortunately, the tide is turning. The best contribution Michael Brander and other National Action supporters can make is to permanently close down their movement and take up some pleasant hobby.

ROUGH RIDE FOR PRIME MINISTER IN 1997 by David Thompson:

On his return from holidays this week, the Prime Minister, Mr. Howard, must contemplate a series of Fundamental problems facing his administration over at least the next year. Far from the expected steady improvement in the economy, it continues to steadily decline on almost all indicators. If Howard and his Ministers insist on proceeding with the suicidal policy of tariff elimination, it is likely that more than just the Ford Motor Company would cease operating in Australia. The unemployment problem will not decline this year in any significant sense, even if Mr. Ferguson's proposal for "job-sharing" were taken seriously.

The immigration issue is certain to boil over again as the British lease on Hong Kong expires, and large numbers of Chinese prepare to escape from the Communist takeover. Many expect to be able to come to Australia. Indeed, many already have permanent residency visas, and similar guarantees. The Department of Immigration **admits** that at least 40,000 Chinese have Australian entry rights. No amount of warning by bodies such as Interpol that our high levels of Asian migration make us increasingly vulnerable to organised international crime is likely to prevail in the face of the millstone of political correctness. Mr. Howard may find that the Hanson phenomena of 1996 was simply a pale foretaste of what is to come.

LAND TITLE AND THE GLOBAL STATE: Perhaps the thorniest issue faced by the Coalition is finding a way to deal with the fall-out from the 1993 "Mabo decision" of the High Court. Having "found" that native title **does** exist in Australia, it still remains to determine just how far native title extends. The Keating administration insisted that titles such as Crown land, freehold, **and leasehold** extinguished native title, but in the result of the test case brought by the Wik people from Queensland, the High Court staggered the nation with yet another extraordinary ruling that titles such as pastoral leases **can co-exist with native title**.

This ruling has, as the Queensland Minister for Natural Resources Mr. Hobbs said in the New Year, effectively paralysed the administration of land titles in the State. This view follows legal advice that **seventy-two percent** of Queensland is directly affected, and that all pastoral leaseholders should immediately cease any property improvements that **have not been approved by native title holders**. In most cases, no native title claims have been made, or not yet awarded, so the problem effectively exists until pastoralists can be assured that either native title is extinguished by a pastoral lease, or until all native title claims have been heard. The latter is a matter of many years.

This is clearly an impossible situation, as around forty-two percent of the continent is covered by leasehold title, and pastoralists and miners, the main commercial beneficiaries of leasehold land, cannot operate until it is cleared up. What will the Howard administration do? On the face of it, the problem is easily solved: the High Court is not the legislative organ for the nation – Parliaments are. State Parliaments can change the rules of administering land title.

The main problem, however, is that legislation ensuring that leasehold title extinguished native title would elicit loud and highly indignant protests that to do so would breach the **Racial Discrimination Act 1975 (R.D.A.)**. Any State prepared to pass such legislation would smartly find itself before the High Court, charged with breaching the Act. This, of course, is a Federal

335.405
ONT

Act, lobbing the hot coal right back to Mr. Howard. Is he prepared to legislate to extinguish native title over leasehold, thus overriding the R.D.A.?

SANCTIONS AGAINST AUSTRALIA? If the Commonwealth was prepared to grasp the nettle of tampering with the R.D.A., it is almost certain that Section 51 (31) of the Constitution would require the Commonwealth to pay "just-terms" compensation to anyone disadvantaged by new legislation. This could run into billions of dollars, particularly if Aboriginal claimants can argue that such a course **robs them of mining royalties**. Ridiculous as it now seems, the day may yet come to pass when 20th (or 21st) century Australians really are required to "pay the rent" for the last 200 years of settlement.

Vigorous charges of "racism" would be levelled at any government preparing to override the Racial Discrimination Act, and Aboriginal groups have already warned that massive protests can be expected, leading right up to the 2000 Olympic Games. It is now becoming clear that international bodies like the United Nations could be enlisted by protest groups to condemn Australia internationally for our "treatment" of Aborigines. It is not impossible to envisage calls for international economic sanctions on Australia reminiscent of sanctions against Rhodesia and South Africa in the 1970's and 80's. In the intervening years, we have seen what was done to Iraq by "the international community".

When the League of Rights campaigned against the international condemnation of Rhodesia, and then South Africa, suggesting that "Australia could be next" to receive this sort of treatment, even some of our own supporters dismissed this as nonsense. But in reality it has little to do with the alleged treatment of Aborigines. It has much more to do with the strategic politics of global government. Australia is still one of the few nations that could defy the international thrust toward global government, as we have enough resources in enough quantities to be economically self-sufficient, and thus internationally independent.

South Africa was once a relatively independent nation, and successfully resisted economic sanctions for some time. It was only when the globalists like Dr. Henry Kissinger insisted that the international banking groups also boycott South Africa that their independence was broken, and "majority rule" used to ensure that it could never be re-established. President Mandela is a most compliant globalist.

It is now becoming alarmingly obvious that the international revolutionary "front line" is shifting from Africa, where the formerly independent states of Rhodesia and South Africa have been broken down. It is **entirely possible that Australia could be next** for international condemnation. It is through issues like native title and the "plight" of Aborigines that international pressure will be applied. We must remember the lessons of Rhodesia and South Africa if Australia is to survive the onslaught. The main lesson to be learned is that no compromise is satisfactory to the globalists - only complete surrender.

12 MAR 1991
AUSTRALIA